Throughout its history, Flushing Meadows Corona Park has looked optimistically into the future. This forward-thinking ideal was embodied in the planning of both the 1939 and the 1964 World’s Fairs. Even in the shadow of the world events to come, the idealism of the 1939 World’s Fair epitomized the thinking of the time.

THE PARK OF THE FUTURE: THEN & NOW

New inventions, new hope for the Post-Depression economy and a belief that technology (combined with New Deal Capitalism) could bring “good things to life” for all people, were incorporated in the ethos of the two Fairs. This hope was symbolized by the Trylon and Perisphere.

It is curious that these futuristic icons should have been set in an unforgiving Beaux-Arts geometry reflecting Robert Moses’ predilection for classicism. This outmoded geometry carried forward into some of the post 1939 Park plans and later into the 1964 World’s Fair Plan. Despite the upbeat tempo of the period and many of the Fair’s exhibits, the 1964 World’s Fair plan maintained the footprint of radial paths and formal allées.

Today, the Park’s torch bearers are the iconic Unisphere, the eccentric but wonderful New York State Pavilion and Wallace Harrison’s original Hall of Science. The Queens Museum of Art and the dilapidated boathouse (due for renovation in 2008) are the only buildings remaining from 1939. In its panorama (from the ’64 Fair) and permanent exhibition on the Fairs, QMA is the keeper of this forward-looking history.

We stress this aspect of Flushing Meadows Corona Park not simply for its historical interest, but to ensure that this forward-looking tradition should be incorporated in future plans for the Park. We suggest that the clearest way to do this is to embrace PlaNYC, the Mayor’s vision for a sustainable future by incorporating sustainable principles in all future actions and policies.

We have proposed several radical changes to the Park in the “Immediate Actions” chapter (Page 31) all of which will improve key elements of the environment, while enriching the experience of the Park. Specifically, our reconfiguration of the river and the lakes to create a huge green space for major events and the associated radical drainage improvements would all be significant first steps toward realizing the Mayor’s plan.
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In this section, we discuss the Park as a whole. The different characteristics of FMCP, provide the background to our vision and recommendations in the chapters that follow. These are the major concepts that form the foundation of the Strategic Framework Plan.

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

Flushing Meadows Corona Park was born whole. Nearly all 1,255 acres of the current Park were part of the original tract of land set aside for the 1939 World's Fair. In the years between 1939 and the second Fair in 1964, the land was controlled by one man: Robert Moses. After the 1964 World's Fair, the entire site was given over to the Department of Parks and Recreation. Despite this history, the Park is a fragmentary landscape. The layered geometry of paths, buildings and other features left over from two World's Fairs places serious constraints on the creation of a single coherent place. The major highways and railroads running through the Park divide it into five segments. This division significantly affects one’s perception of the space of the Park as well as how the space can be used.

Unlike the great parks of the 19th Century, conceived as a sequence of variegated landscapes organized by a comprehensible single concept, FMCP has always been a collection of fragments. Both World’s Fair plans conceptualized the Park as a vast network connecting a heterogeneous agglomeration of pavilions and attractions. Even now, the entire 1,255 acres of Park are owned by the Department of Parks and Recreation, yet large swathes of the Park are effectively under the control of other institutions such as the Mets, The United States Tennis Association and the Hall of Science.

We spent much time examining current patterns of use in FMCP and assessing how these patterns fit in to the landscape of the Park and its context within the City. We began to think about the Park inside the framework of a specific set of terms:

**Seven Zones**
Seven discrete physical areas with varying character

**Three Major Modes**
Categories of use for the Park in the broadest sense

**In the Park & Of the Park**
A way of understanding the relationship between the Park and its constituent institutions and concessions

**Ecology of Activity**
A theoretical framework for the relationship between active and passive use of the Park.